

CERN-TH.7243/94
USC-94/008
hep-th/9404192
April 1994

Density Correlation Functions in Calogero-Sutherland Models

JOSEPH A. MINAHAN[★]

*Department of Physics,
University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484 USA*

and

ALEXIOS P. POLYCHRONAKOS[†]

*Theory Division, CERN
CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland*

ABSTRACT

Using arguments from two dimensional Yang-Mills theory and the collective coordinate formulation of the Calogero-Sutherland model, we conjecture the dynamical density correlation function for coupling l and $1/l$, where l is an integer. We present overwhelming evidence that the conjecture is indeed correct.

Submitted to *Physical Review B*, PACS numbers: 03.65.Ca, 05.30.Fk, 03.65.Fd

[★] minahan@physics.usc.edu

[†] poly@dxcern.cern.ch

There has been much recent interest in the Calogero-Sutherland Model (CSM) of interacting fermions in one dimension[1,2]. One reason for the interest is that these models are related to quantum spin chains, with long range interactions between the spins[3]. The CSM models also have a close relation to random matrix theory[2,4] and fractional statistics[5,6,7].

The Hamiltonian of the CSM on the circle is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2mL^2} \left(- \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{l(l-1)}{\sin^2(\theta_i - \theta_j)/2} \right) \quad (1)$$

The spectrum of H has been long known[2], but until recently the dynamical correlation functions remained unknown. The basic problem is that while in principle it is possible to calculate the eigenfunctions for the CSM[2], in practice it is a computational nightmare. A big break in this direction came last year, when Simons, Lee and Altshuler calculated the *dynamical* two point density correlation function for three special values of the coupling. They exploited the fact that for these values of the coupling, the CSM was related to random matrix theory of orthogonal, unitary or symplectic matrices.

In this letter we will generalize the results of Simons Lee and Altshuler for CSM couplings l and $1/l$, where l is any integer. Our analysis is based on some straightforward observations about the form of the measure in the correlation functions. Using arguments from two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory (YM₂) and a duality symmetry found in the CSM, we find that the functional form of the measure must satisfy a host of properties. We find a function that satisfies these properties and conjecture that it is unique.

Our starting point will be $U(N)$ YM₂ on the torus. It is by now well known that its partition function is given by the sum[8]

$$Z = \sum_{R'} e^{-LTg^2 C_{2R'}} \quad (2)$$

where R' are the possible $U(N)$ representations, L and T are the lengths of the

two circles comprising the torus, g is the YM_2 coupling and $C_{2R'}$ is the quadratic Casimir for representation R' . R' is described by a Young tableau with n_i boxes in row i , which satisfy the constraints that $n_i \geq n_{i+1}$. Since we are considering $U(N)$, the number of boxes in a row can be negative. The Casimir is then given by

$$C_{2R'} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i n_i (n_i + N - 2i + 1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left(n_i + \frac{N - 2i + 1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{(N^2 - 1)N}{24}. \quad (3)$$

Letting $p_i = n_i + (N - 2i + 1)/2$, then p_i satisfies $p_i \geq p_{i+1} + 1$. From this it is clear that the partition function in (2) is the same as that for N nonrelativistic free fermions on a circle where the length of this circle, L' , and the fermion mass m satisfy the relation $mL'^2 = 1/(g^2L)[9]$. T is the Euclidean time in this problem. Next insert a Wilson loop in representation R along the Euclidean time direction of the torus. This corresponds to putting a fixed color source at some point on the original YM_2 circle. The loop modifies the partition function to

$$Z_R = \sum_{R'} N_{R' \bar{R}' R} e^{-LTg^2 C_{2R'}} \quad (4)$$

where $N_{R_1 R_2 R_3}$ is the number of singlets in the tensor product $R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$. If R is the $(l-1)N$ symmetric representation of $SU(N)$ then $N_{R' \bar{R}' R} = 1$ if $p_i \geq l + p_{i+1}$. Hence, the allowed states are precisely the states found in the CSM with coupling $l[10]$.

The fact that the CSM is related to YM_2 suggests that other techniques from YM_2 might be applicable, in particular the string interpretation. This is equivalent to a formulation in terms of creation and annihilation operators. To achieve this, perform the standard redefinition of the wavefunction, $\Psi = \Delta^l f$, where Δ is the Vandermonde for $z_i = e^{i\theta_i}$. The function f is a combination of YM_2 characters, satisfying[2]

$$Hf = \frac{1}{mL^2} \left(\frac{l}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{z_i + z_j}{z_i - z_j} (z_i \partial_i - z_j \partial_j) f + \sum_i (z_i^2 \partial_i^2 + z_i \partial_i) f \right) = Ef \quad (5)$$

where $\partial_i = \partial_{z_i}$. We can classify the states in terms of representations R' of $U(N)$ (just as in YM_2) as $\chi(R', l)$ with energy $E(R', l)$. These can be thought as l -deformed characters and Casimirs. These are also referred to as Jack polynomials in the mathematical literature[11]. Note that $\chi(R, 1) = \chi_R$ is the usual $U(N)$ character. f can be expanded in terms of the Schur functions $W_n = \sum_i z_i^n$, giving

$$f = \sum_k f\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \prod_{i=1}^k W_{n_i} \equiv \sum_k f\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} |n_1, \dots, n_k\rangle \quad (6)$$

where $f\{n_i\}$ are expansion coefficients. Since here we will be interested only in chiral states, all n_i can be chosen positive. This is the “string” picture, where the state $|\{n_i\}\rangle$ is interpreted as a collection of strings with winding numbers n_i . Defining string creation and annihilation operators $|n\rangle \equiv a_n^+ |0\rangle$ and $n|0\rangle \equiv a_n |n\rangle$ which obey the commutation relations $[a_n, a_m^+] = n\delta_{m,n}$ and interpreting the action of (5) on (6) in terms of a_n and a_n^+ , we get[12,13]

$$H = \frac{1}{mL^2} \left[\sum_{n>0} \{ (1-l)na_n^+ a_n + lNa_n^+ a_n \} + \sum_{m,n>0} \{ la_m^+ a_n^+ a_{m+n} + a_{m+n}^+ a_m a_n \} \right] \quad (7)$$

The terms inside the double sum in (7) are respectively a splitting term and a joining term. This string theory is nonlocal on the world sheet, due to the existence of the term $na_n^+ a_n$, proportional to the *square* of the length of each winding string.

A duality relation[11,16] between the models with parameters l and $1/l$ can be established using the Hamiltonian in (7). To this end, define the new “dual” operators $\tilde{a}_n^+ = -\frac{1}{l}a_n^+$ and $\tilde{a}_n = -la_n$ satisfying *the same* commutation relations as the original ones. In terms of the new operators, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \frac{-l}{mL^2} \left[\sum_{n>0} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) n \tilde{a}_n^+ \tilde{a}_n + \frac{1}{l} (-lN) \tilde{a}_n^+ \tilde{a}_n \right\} + \sum_{m,n>0} \left\{ \frac{1}{l} \tilde{a}_m^+ \tilde{a}_n^+ \tilde{a}_{m+n} + \tilde{a}_{m+n}^+ \tilde{a}_m \tilde{a}_n \right\} \right] \quad (8)$$

So, apart from an overall constant $-l$, the Hamiltonian in terms of the new operators is identical to the old one but with l turned into $1/l$ and N turned into

$-lN$. Therefore, any solution of the $1/l$ problem, expressed as a polynomial of creation operators acting on the vacuum, will also be a solution of the l problem upon substitution of the dual operators in its expression. But $\tilde{a}_n^+$ creates the state $-lW_n$. Further, turning W_n into $-W_n$ in the expression for the character of a representation R' changes it into the character of the dual representation $\tilde{R}'$, where the tableau of $\tilde{R}'$ is that of R' with rows and columns interchanged. Hence, one has the duality relations

$$\chi(R', l; \{W_n\}) = \chi(\tilde{R}', \frac{1}{l}, \{-lW_n\}), \quad E(R', l, N) = -lE(\tilde{R}', \frac{1}{l}, -lN) \quad (9)$$

Changing the positive integer N into $-lN$ may look unphysical; however, N appears simply as a parameter in the Hamiltonian (its other manifestation is in nonperturbative effects). Therefore we can formally take it to be $-lN$ in all expressions explicitly involving it. For generic l , duality maps particles into holes in the pseudomomentum spectrum. Since n particles can annihilate with ln holes, this justifies the mapping $l \rightarrow 1/l$. Obviously, duality is broken by nonperturbative effects, since particle excitations can have arbitrarily high momentum while holes cannot be excited any further than the bottom of the Fermi sea.

In order to calculate correlation functions we must know the inner product of the wavefunctions in terms of the correct measure. It can be shown that in the large- N limit $\langle n|m \rangle = \frac{n}{l}\delta_{m,n}$. In fact, this relation implies that in this limit, $a_n^\dagger = la_n^+$ (since $\langle n|m \rangle = \langle 0|(a_n^+)^\dagger a_m^+|0 \rangle$). The Hamiltonian (7) is Hermitian under this measure, and therefore the eigenstates are orthogonal with respect to this measure. To obtain the exact measure with direct calculation is nontrivial for general l . The alternative is to determine it indirectly, through the jacobian of the change of variables from the old wavefunction $\Psi(\theta_i)$ to the new one, viewed as a function of the Schur variables W_n . The evaluation of this jacobian is achieved with standard collective field theory techniques Fourier transformed to momentum space[14,15,13]. We simply quote the result (appropriately modified

for the periodic Sutherland problem)

$$\ln J = \sum_{n>0} l \frac{W_{-n} W_n}{n} + (l-1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k(k-1)N^{k-1}} \sum_{\sum n_i=0} W_{n_1} \cdots W_{n_k} \quad (10)$$

This result is exact perturbatively to all orders in $1/N$, and it contains an infinite number of vertices, of increasing order in $1/N$. The inner product of any two states expressed as functionals of W_n , is given by integrating over all W_n with the measure $J/\int J \prod_n dW_n$. In particular, the overlap $\langle n_1 \dots n_k | n_{k+1} \dots n_{k+m} \rangle$ is a $k+m$ -point correlation function of an interacting one-dimensional field theory with action (10). Since (10) is invariant under $W_n \rightarrow -lW_n$, $N \rightarrow -lN$, $l \rightarrow 1/l$, the norm and the inner product of the states is invariant under duality transformations. The leading quadratic part of (10), of order N^0 , reproduces the leading-order result for the overlap $\langle n|m \rangle$. Since, however, we are interested in the thermodynamic limit where the particle density remains finite we would like to compute the result to all orders in $1/N$.

YM₂ provides a useful framework for determining selection rules in the density correlation functions. The density operator for a system of N fermions is given by

$$\rho(u) = (L')^{-1} \sum_i \delta(u/L' - \theta_i) = (L')^{-1} \sum_n \sum_i e^{-inu/L'} e^{in\theta_i}. \quad (11)$$

But the sum over i is just the trace of an N by N unitary matrix whose eigenvalues are $e^{i\theta_i}$. Hence $\rho(u)$ is $\rho(u) = (2\pi L')^{-1} \sum_n e^{-inu/L'} W_n$. The density correlation function is found by inserting onto the torus two spatial Wilson loops, $\rho(u)$ and $\rho(v)$, which are separated by Euclidean time T and which cross the Wilson loop, R . The loops divide the surface of the torus into two regions and to find the correlations on the ground-state the area of one of these regions is taken to infinity. Using arguments of Migdal and Rusakov[8], the density correlation function reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho(u, t) \rho(v, 0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi L')^2} \sum_n e^{-in(u-v)/L'} \int dU dV dW \sum_{R'} d_{R'} d_G \chi_G(U W V^\dagger W^\dagger) \\ &\quad \chi_{R'}(U V^\dagger) \times \chi_R(W) \text{tr}(U^n) \text{tr}(V^{n\dagger}) e^{-tLC_{R'}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

G is the so called “staircase” with $l - 1$ boxes per step and $d_{R'}$ is the dimension of representation R' . The integrals are over the $U(N)$ group volume. The Schur function W_n can be expressed as the sum of $U(N)$ characters

$$W_n = \chi_{[n]}(U) - \chi_{[n-1,1]}(U) + \chi_{[n-2,1,1]}(U) + \dots (-1)^n \chi_{[1,1,1,\dots,1]}(U) \quad (13)$$

where the numbers in the square brackets correspond to the number of boxes in each row of the corresponding tableau. The only representations that appear in W_n are the “corner” tableaux, that is, those that only have boxes in the first row or first column.

In principle, the integration (12) can be carried out, but in practice it is highly tedious. The result of each integral will be given by some Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. However, the contribution from R' is zero in (12) if the tensor product of G with all possible corner representations does not contain R' . This then leads to our selection rules, which are a generalization of those in [7]. Define the representation G_ρ as the representation obtained by adding the boxes in row i of ρ to row i of G . Then it is straightforward to see that the allowed representations R' are given by G_ρ , where ρ can have more than one box in each of the first l columns, but has at most one box after that.

The correlation functions of greatest interest are those found in the large radius limit, where the overall fermion density is kept fixed. This implies that N/L' is fixed and therefore $g^2 N^2$ is fixed. This differs from the more traditional large N limit of Yang-Mills theory where $g^2 N$ is fixed. With this new limit, a $U(N)$ representation which has order N boxes in its tableau has a finite energy in the large N -limit. It is useful to define new variables $x_i = n_i/N$ and $y_i = \tilde{n}_i/N$, where n_i is the number of boxes in the i^{th} row and $\tilde{n}_i$ is the number in the i^{th} column. To leading order in $1/N$, the energy of a particular representation R' is $E(x_1, y_1 \dots y_l) = \frac{g^2 N^2 L}{2} \left(x_1(x_1 + l) - l \sum_i^l y_i(1 - y_i) \right)$. From the selection rules, the density correlation function is given by $l + 1$ sums, where the first l are the sums over the number of boxes in the first l columns, and the other sum is over the

number of boxes in the first row. In the scaling limit, the sums become integrals and the integration limits are $0 < x_1 < \infty$ and $0 < y_l < y_{l-1} < y_{l-2} \dots y_1 < 1$. It is easy to understand the nature of these sums by considering the Bethe ansatz picture for the CSM[2,17]. The ground state has $l - 1$ empty sites between each filled site. A representation R' corresponds to the situation where fermion 1 moves over n_1 sites, fermion 2 moves over l sites, as do the next $\tilde{n}_l - 2$ fermions. Then the next fermion moves over only $l - 1$ sites, and the logic is continued all the way down to fermion $\tilde{n}_1$ which moves over 1 site. This is then equivalent to exciting one particle out of the fermi sea and leaving l holes. $ly_i - l/2$ is the momentum of i^{th} hole, and $x_1 + l/2$ is the momentum of the particle. Hence the correlation function should be given by

$$\langle \rho(u, t) \rho(v, 0) \rangle = \frac{N^2}{(2\pi L')^2} \text{Re} \int_0^\infty dx_1 \int_0^1 dy_1 \dots \int_0^{y_{l-1}} dy_l \mu(x_1, y_1 \dots y_l; l) \quad (14)$$

$$e^{iN(x_1 + \sum_i y_i)(u-v)/L'} e^{-tN^2[x_1(x_1+l) - l \sum_i y_i(1-y_i)]/(2mL'^2)}$$

It is now just a question of determining $\mu(x_1, y_1 \dots y_l; l)$. To this end, we make the following observations: (i) The measure should be invariant under an interchange of the y_i , since this just corresponds to interchanging the momenta of the holes. (ii) The measure should be invariant under $x_1 \rightarrow -l - x_1$, $y_i \rightarrow 1 - y_i$, since this corresponds to a parity transformation. (iii) The integrand should vanish as $y_i \rightarrow y_j$, since there can not be two holes in the same place. (iv) Since $\langle W_{-n} W_n \rangle = N$ if $n \geq lN$, the measure is nonzero and finite as $x_1 \rightarrow \infty$.

For a particular eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian, the contribution to the measure is proportional to $\langle W_n | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \psi \rangle^{-1} \langle \psi | W_n \rangle$. There are some known eigenfunctions for the CSM, such as the n -antisymmetric wave-functions, which are given by

$$\psi_n = \sum_{n \text{ partitions}} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(W_k)^k}{(n_k)!}.$$

The sum is over all partitions of n . We can then make the additional observa-

tions: (v) Using the Jacobian in (10) the contribution of ψ_n to the correlation function is $C(l)n^{(1-1/l)}[1 + (l-1)n/(lN)] + O(1/N^2)$, where $C(l)$ is an l dependent constant. (vi) By duality, the contribution of the ‘symmetric’ representation, $\tilde{\psi}_n$ is $C(1/l)n^{(1-l)}[1 + (l-1)n/(lN)] + O(1/N^2)$. These six constraints are highly restrictive and the only function that we could find that satisfies them is

$$\mu(x_1, y_1 \dots y_l; l) = C^{-1} \frac{\prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j)^{2/l}}{\prod_i (y_i(1 - y_i))^{1-1/l}} \frac{(x_1(x_1 + l))^{l-1} (x_1 + \sum_i y_i)^2}{\prod_i (x_1 + ly_i)^2} \quad (15)$$

That this function satisfies the first four constraints is obvious. The last two require further explanation. The region of the integrand that comes from the antisymmetric wave function is $1/N \sim y_i \ll y_1 \ll 1$ for $i > 1$, and $1/N \sim x_1 \ll y_1$. Since we have replaced sums by integrals, the $l + 1$ integrals are integrated over a region of width $1/N$. We then find that the contribution of ψ_n is $\sim (N)^{1-1/l} y_1^{1/l} / (1 - y)^{1-1/l}$, and the behavior agrees with (v). Likewise the contribution from $\tilde{\psi}_n$ comes from $1/N \sim y_i \ll x_1 \ll 1$, hence after integrating the variables over a width $1/N$, one finds the behavior is $\sim N^{1-l} x_1^{1-l} (l + x_1)^{l-1}$, which agrees with (vi).

In fact there are a few more checks that we can make. First, (14) and (15) agree with the previously known cases of $l = 1$ and $l = 2$ [4]. Second, note that the contribution from W_n comes from the region $\tau = x_1 + \sum_i y_i$, where $n = \tau N$. We can pick off this contribution by inserting $\delta(\tau - x_1 - \sum_i y_i)$ into the integrand. If $\tau \geq l$, we can do the x_1 integration, leaving the integrals over y_i saturated. But at equal time, we know that $\langle W_n W_{-n} \rangle = N$ for $n \geq lN$. Therefore, if (15) is correct, then it must be true that

$$\frac{1}{l!} \int_0^1 \prod_i \frac{dy_i}{(y_i(1 - y_i))^{1-1/l} (\tau + ly_i - Y)^2} \prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j)^{\frac{2}{l}} [(\tau - Y)(\tau - Y + l)]^{l-1} \tau^2 = C \quad (16)$$

where $Y = \sum_i y_i$ and C is a constant. The constant is determined by taking

$\tau \rightarrow \infty$, in which case (16) reduces to the Selberg integral and C is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2l!} \left(\frac{l}{\Gamma(1/l)} \right)^l \prod_{k=1}^l \Gamma(k/l) \quad (17)$$

We have been unable to prove (16) directly, but we computed the $l = 3$ case numerically and were able to show that it agreed with C up to six significant digits all the way down to $\tau = 3.5$. Finally, we observe that as $x_1 \rightarrow \infty$, the measure reduces to the measure recently found by Forrester for the two-particle Green function[18,6]. This is sensible, since sending the particle to infinite momentum should be equivalent to removing it altogether.

Using the duality symmetry we can find the correlation function for the case $1/l$. In this case, we have l integrals over variables x_i that run from 0 to ∞ and one integral over y_1 from 0 to 1. The correlation function is then found by substituting $-N/l$ for N , $-ly_1$ for x_1 and $-lx_i$ for y_i in the measure and energy. The result for $l = 1/2$ agrees with the result found previously[4].

Note added After this work was completed, we learned that Ha has been able to prove these results using properties of the Jack polynomials[19]. After this paper was submitted we received another preprint proving these results[20]

Acknowledgements: The research of J.A.M. was supported in part by D.O.E. grant DE-FG03-84ER-40168. J.A.M. thanks CERN and M. Fowler at the University of Virginia for their hospitality while this work was in progress.

REFERENCES

1. F. Calogero, *Jour. of Math. Phys.* **10**, 2191 and 2197 (1969); **12**, 419 (1971).
2. B. Sutherland, *Phys. Rev. A* **4**, 2019 (1971); *Phys. Rev. A* **5**, 1372 (1972); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34**, 1083 (1975).
3. F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 635 (1988); B. S. Shastri, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 639 (1988).
4. B. D. Simons, P. A. Lee, and B. L. Altshuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 4122 (1993); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 64 (1994); E. Mucciolo, B. Shastri, B. Simons and B. Altshuler, cond-mat/9309030.
5. A. Polychronakos, *Nucl. Phys.* **B234** (1989) 597; F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 937; Y.S. Wu, University of Utah preprint, cond-mat/9402008, February 1994; D. Bernard and Y. S. Wu, cond-mat/9404025, April 1994.
6. F. D. M. Haldane, cond-mat/9401001, January 1994
7. B. S. Shastri, cond-mat/9402065.
8. A. Migdal, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **69** (1975) 810; B. Rusakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **5** (1990) 693.
9. Y. Nambu, in "From SU(3) to Gravity," pp.45-52 Gotsman, E. (Ed.), Tauber, G. (Ed.); and *Phys. Rev. D* **26** (1992) 2875 and references therein; M. Stone, *Phys. Rev. B* **42** (1990) 399; A. Jevicki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B376** (1992) 75; J. A. Minahan and A. P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Lett.* **B312** 155, 1993; M. Douglas, RU-93-13 hep-th/9303159; M. Caselle, A. D'Adda, L. Magnea, and S. Panzeri, hep-th/9304015, 1993.
10. A. Gorskii, and N. Nekrasov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B414** (1994) 213; J. A. Minahan and A. P. Polychronakos, Sep. 1993. to appear in *Phys. Lett. B*, hep-th/9309044
11. R. P. Stanley, *Adv. Math.* **77**, 76 (1989).

12. A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 467.
13. I. Andric, A. Jevicki and H. Levine, *Nucl. Phys.* **B215** (1983) 307.
14. F. Dyson, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962) 157.
15. I. Andric and V. Bardek, *J. Phys.* **A21** (1988) 2847.
16. M. Gaudin, Saclay preprint SPhT-92-158.
17. B. Sutherland and B. S. Shastri, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 5 (1993).
18. P. J. Forrester, *Phys. Lett.* **A179**, 127 (1993).
19. Z. N. C. Ha, *to appear*
20. F. Lesage, V. Pasquier and D. Serban, Saclay preprint, April 1994